

14th April, 1986.

A.G. -v- Grant du Heaume

**DEPUTY BAILIFF:** "The learned Jurats are not persuaded by the disparity argument because the sentence imposed on De Freitas was an individualised sanction. He had not previously served a sentence at the Young Offenders Centre which Du Heaume had - De Freitas was in any case a year younger. The Court is satisfied from the presiding Judge's report that further training for Du Heaume was in the mind of the sentencing Court and that the Court did consider the 6 months' imprisonment alternative and rejected it. Under the circumstances, the Court is unanimous in its decision to refuse the application for leave."