

22nd January, 1987

Her Majesty's Attorney General

- v -

David Anthony Tyrer

DEPUTY BAILIFF: We consider (indistinct) that he abandoned his appeal against the sentence for larceny. We think that one week for that offence was far too lenient, and if he had maintained that appeal we would have in fact increased the sentence to at least three weeks. For that reason the disparity argument does not persuade us. For a third conviction in three months a sentence of three weeks was the minimum that could have reasonably been imposed. The appeal is dismissed and Mr. Renouf will have his legal aid costs.

(Indistinct discussion regarding the matter of an application by Advocate Nicolle for costs incurred by the Crown in relation to the appeal).

DEPUTY BAILIFF: The Court has no hesitation in granting the application of Advocate Miss Nicolle. The appellant will pay the costs of the prosecution.

✓