

10th February, 1987.

Royal Court
(Samedi Division)

Before: Mr. Commissioner Dorey,
Jurat Mrs. B. Myles,
Jurat M.W. Bonn

<u>Between</u>	Tudor Hotels Limited	<u>Plaintiff</u>
<u>And</u>	Rodney John Ison	<u>Defendant</u>

Representation brought by the Plaintiff alleging Breach of Injunction,
contained in the Order of Justice, by the defendant.

Advocate R.J. Renouf for the Plaintiff.

The defendant representing himself.

JUDGMENT

Mr. Commissioner Dorey: The Court wishes to make it clear that an Order made by the Bailiff or Deputy Bailiff, on signing an Order of Justice is a mandatory order and must be obeyed.

The Court orders that the defendant should make disclosure to the Viscount, as required by the Order of Justice, without any restriction or qualification, and should do so forthwith; failing which he is to be arrested and brought before Court at 5.00 p.m. tomorrow, to answer for his contempt.

The Court wishes to make it clear to Mr. Ison, however, that any persons, professional or otherwise, who are prejudiced by the arrest of the money, as Mr. Ison indicated that certain people might be prejudiced, are free to apply to Court for a variation of the injunction to prevent themselves suffering from hardship.

The Court orders that the costs of the application be paid by Mr. Ison.

10th February, 1987

Her Majesty's Attorney General

-v-

Resources Recovery Board

Christopher Ronald Fritot

and

Richard John Luce

Commissioner Dorey (initial comments lost because of faulty tape) as regards the Board, the offence against Article 18 we regard as an extremely serious offence, with very little in the way of mitigating circumstances, and we uphold the fine of two thousand five hundred pounds. As regards the offence under Article 8, we have listened very carefully to what Advocate Bailhache has said, but we consider that the fine of one thousand pounds is appropriate. We are satisfied that the Board in general complied with British standards, but we feel that it failed to address itself to the mandatory requirements of the Jersey Statutory Regulations. We also order costs of five hundred pounds against the Board in respect of these two offences.

✓