

ROYAL COURT

10th February, 1989

Before: The Deputy Bailiff, and
Jurats Lucas and Gruchy.

BETWEEN **Lynne Sharon Barry, née Hébert** PLAINTIFF

AND **Daniel Robert Weaver** DEFENDANT

Representation of plaintiff, alleging
Breach of Injunction, embodied in her
Order of Justice, signed by the
Bailiff on the 13th September, 1988

Defendant convened under Rule 3/7 of the
Royal Court Rules, 1982, as amended.

Advocate A.R. Binnington for the plaintiff
Advocate W.J. Bailhache for the defendant.

JUDGMENT

DEPUTY BAILIFF: The Court has looked up the notes of the hearing on the last occasion. On that occasion Mr. Scholefield represented the plaintiff and Mr. O'Connell represented the defendant. It is quite clear from the notes that the excuse and explanation on that occasion was that the breaches occurred following the service of the Order of Justice, but before legal advice had

been obtained and therefore you did not understand fully the injunctions imposed upon you. The assurance that was given to us on that occasion, and Jurat Gruchy as well as myself was sitting, was that you now understood fully all the implications of not abiding strictly by the injunctions imposed and Mr. O'Connell told us that we would not be faced with you again. Therefore the Court has got to take a serious view of a further breach of the injunction and therefore a further contempt of this Court, notwithstanding the fact that there has been a four month gap. Therefore the Court is going to punish that contempt in the hope that you will learn once and for all what Court Orders mean. You are sentenced for contempt to two weeks' imprisonment and you will be taken into custody by the Viscount's officers.

n.b. no authorities.