

**ROYAL COURT**  
**(Samedi Division)**

22nd February, 1989

Before: The Deputy Bailiff, and  
Jurats Coutanche and Gruchy.

---

Between	<b>Reinout Baron Sloet Tot Everlo</b>	Plaintiff
And	<b>Fitel Limited</b>	First Defendant
And	<b>Graciela Chichilnisky</b>	Second Defendant
And	<b>Geoffrey Martin Heal</b>	Third Defendant
And	<b>Bakerlee Limited</b>	Fourth Defendant
And	<b>Strachan Management Services Limited</b>	First Party Cited
And	<b>Philip Eric de Figueiredo</b>	Second Party Cited
And	<b>Raymond Gerard Connell</b>	Third Party Cited
And	<b>Financial Telecommunications Limited</b>	Fourth Party Cited
And	<b>Financial Telecommunications Inc.</b>	Fifth Party Cited

And

David Oswald Moon, Peter de Carteret  
Mourant, Conrad Edwin Coutanche,  
Keith Sherwood Baker, Richard Francis  
Valpy Jeune, Alan Robert Binnington,  
Ian Colin James, James David Philippe  
Crill and Timothy Joseph Herbert  
Carrying on the Profession of  
Advocates and Solicitors  
under the name and style of  
Mourant, du Feu and Jeune.

Sixth Party Cited

And

Charles Malcolm Belford Thacker  
and David Fisher Le Quesne  
Carrying on the Profession of  
Advocates under the name  
and style of  
Viberts

Seventh Party Cited

---

Hearing of Plaintiff's allegation  
of Breach of Injunctions, embodied  
in Order of Justice, by Second and  
Third Defendants.

---

Advocate A.P. Begg for the Plaintiff,  
Advocate A.R. Binnington for the Second  
and Third Defendants.

---

JUDGMENT

DEPUTY BAILIFF: We accept the apology of Dr. Heal on his own behalf and on behalf of his co-defendant and accept that the contempt is purged.

Accordingly, no further consideration will be given to any question of sequestrating property and the injunction imposed on Bakerlee Ltd on the 2nd February, 1989, is lifted.

By way of sanction for the contempt we impose a fine on the Second and Third Defendants, jointly and severally, in the sum of £500 with one month's imprisonment in default of payment.

The Court confirms the injunctions contained in the Order of Justice of the 18th October, 1985 - whilst confirmation is not strictly necessary, we wish to make it absolutely clear, in Dr. Heal's presence that the injunctions remain in full force and effect.

Finally, the Second and Third Defendants, jointly and severally, will pay the costs of and incidental to the representation and the hearings of the afternoon of the 2nd February, 1989, and of today on a taxation basis.

Authorities

Heerema & Ors. -v- Heerema (1985-86) J.L.R. 293.