

they preferred him to the third compriser, who claimed to be preferred to the Doctor, the second compriser. The third compriser *alleged*, as said is, That the legal fell only under his comprising; as he had denounced when the legal was extant, viz. after Haliburton's comprising was complete, and perfected; and that Kincaid's comprising, proceeding upon a denunciation, which could not extend to a legal, which was not then *in rerum natura*, could not carry the reversion; but this plea was repelled. The contrary of this was found *in terminis*, in an action, betwixt Malloch and Murray against Weir, in July 1620. But the LORDS found this last decision the most just, and would hereafter so decide.

No 3.

Aét. Hope & Lawtie.

Alt. Nicolson & Belsber.

Clerk, Gibfon.

* * * It is a common opinion, where comprisings are deduced for fums, whereof a part is paid before the comprising, that the comprising falls *in totum*, and will not subsist for the rest of the fums, which are truly owing; and this was found in the action betwixt Lamb and Blackburn, and L. Smeiton, anno 1613, (*see p. 95.*): But there are many who doubt of the equity of that opinion, and think it no reason, that the comprising should fall for the fums which are truly owing, no more than horning and poinding, or arrestment, if they be executed for more than is owing, will not cause the whole execution to fall, but only for so much as is not a just debt; and a decret, obtained for more than is due debt, will not make the sentence to fall *in totum*: And this hath warrant also from the civil law, *de plus petitionibus*. But the reason of the common opinion is, for the fraud of the compriser, to apprise for that which he knows to be wrong, and his fraud is therein punished; but where there is no appearance, or suspicion, of fraud, there the law admits place of excuse, *nam potest existimari inique judicasse, qui reum omnino absolverit, cum constaret & probatum sit, eum partem debere.*

Fel. Dic. v. 1. p. 10. Durie, p. 148.

1627. January 3. HAGIE against HER DAUGHTERS.

HAGIE, relict of John Williamson in Cupar, having charged her own children, three daughters, begotten by him, to enter heirs to their father; they having renounced; she sought adjudication of all his goods; and, among other things, of a bond of 3000 merks, esteemed moveable by a charge, and so not to have been adjudgeable before her husband's decease:—Many of the LORDS thought, that any moveable thing might be adjudged to a creditor, *quia nomina debitorum possunt addici*; but the most part sustained the exception.

Spottiswood, (ADJUDICATION.) p. 8.

No 4.

A bond made moveable by a charge, found not adjudgeable.

1627. January 30. COWPER against WILLIAMSON and BOGMILN.

In an action of adjudication, at the instance of a woman called Cowper, against Williamson and L. Bogmiln, whereby the pursuer craved a bond of some monies

No 5.

An heritable bond, which

No 5.
had been
made move-
able by a
charge, given
by a defunct,
found to be-
come execu-
try, and not
adjudgeable.

made to the defunct, who was debtor to the pursuer; conceived in manner of an heritable bond, bearing annualrent; to be adjudged to the pursuer, for satisfying of the defunct's debt; to whom the defender called in this process of adjudication, had renounced to be heir, as is usual in these cases.—THE LORDS found, That this bond, and the right thereof, could not be craved to be adjudged by this manner of process of adjudication; in respect that the defunct had made the bond, and sum therein-contained, moveable, by making requisition therefor in his own time; whereby it was not heritable, to be fought by adjudication; but being thereby made moveable, would pertain to the executors of the defunct, and come under his testament, and so might be arrested or pinded; or, if the executors of the defunct should not confirm it, then the creditor might confirm himself executor, to the effect he might be paid, and that he might seek some other way than by adjudication.

A&A. Aiton.

Alt. M'Gill.

Clerk, Gibson.

Fol. Dic. v. I. p. 10. Durie, p. 264.

1627. March 13. M'GHIE against LIVINGSTON.

No 6.
A compiser
found to have
no right to
rents, which
fell due prior
to his dili-
gence.
(See No 8.)

IN an action, at the instance of M'Ghie of Balmaghie against Livingston, for the mails and duties of his lands, conform to his infeftment; a compiser, who had comprised all right and title that the pursuer had to the lands, and who was also infeft therein, compearing and alleging, that he could not have right thereto; but the same pertained to him, by virtue of his comprising; the LORDS found, That the pursuer had right to the duties libelled, and not the compiser; because the pursuit was for years preceding the comprising; which they found pertained not to the compiser, albeit he alleged he had comprised *all right*, which the pursuer had to the lands, which gave him right for all bygone duties owing for the lands to the pursuer, even as effectually, as if the pursuer had made him assignee thereto; for the comprising was a legal assignation; and albeit it might appear, that the bygone duty owing before his comprising, could not be effectually comprised, the same being *res mobiles* which were affected with arrestments, and not comprisings; yet the defender contended, that they being bruiked and acclaimed by an heritable right to the land, they came under the comprising, which extended to all the pursuer's right which he had to the lands. And also he *alleged*, That, as by virtue of the comprising of an heritable contract, containing annualrent for a sum of money, the compiser would have right, not only to seek the principal sum, but all the bygone years annualrents, addebted to his debtor, by virtue of that contract, preceding his comprising; so ought it to be in this case, especially where the question is only betwixt him and the debtor, from whom he has comprised; and not betwixt him and any one of his