

who collude with the heir, and suffer decret to pass against them in defraud of other creditors ;—not respected.

Page 2.

---

1629. *February.* ————— *against* —————.

THE gift of a husband's liferent, disponed by a superior, cannot be extended to the lands which he only bruiks by his wife, *jure mariti* ; but the duty of these lands falls under escheat, and must yearly be disponed, so long as the husband remains rebel ; for the husband is not vassal to the superior of these lands, while his wife holds of the superior, although he possess the same *jure mariti*.

Page 224.

---

1628. *February 14 ; and 1629, February 4.* The Young LADY AITOUNE *against* The RELICT of ALEXANDER HUME.

THE young Lady Aitoune, being infest with her husband, by the old Laird of Aitoune, in the lands of —————, conform to her contract of marriage, to be holden of the superior ;—the said Laird Aitoune afterwards dispones the land to Mr Alexander Hume, to be holden of the Laird of Aitoune, and he is put in possession thereof. In this contract of alienation, the disponers are obliged to obtain the consent of the young lady to the alienation ; and, for the security thereof, give a bond of 4000 merks. After the alienation, and before the death of the young Laird of Aitoune, the young lady's conjunct fee is confirmed by the superior ; and, after his decease, the young lady pursues for the mails and duties of the land : Compears the relict of Mr Alexander Hume, who had served herself to a terce of the said lands, and alleged she ought to be preferred, because her husband was infest in the lands by the old and young Lairds of Aitoune, before the young lady's infestment was made perfect by the superior's confirmation. It was answered, That the confirmation being passed before her husband's decease, it must be drawn back to her charter ; and no base infestment, although clad with possession in her husband's time, which she could not impede so long as he lived, could prejudge her of her liferent ; in respect, in the contract of alienation, and in the bond, they take the Laird, her husband, obliged to obtain her consent. The Lords repelled the exception.—14th February 1628, and 4th February 1629.

Page 262.

---

1628. *January 16 ; and 1629, February 7.* DALMAHOY *against* BROWN.

A WIFE, being executrix to her husband, after his decease, marries another