prejudice by it, nor could if he were diligent; because he might have beneficium inventarii; and restitution is only granted, to minors, of such deeds as were to their enormous hurt and loss: But this was no such deed; for there was much free gear in the father's testament. As to that, that his mother had spent it, that was not a cause of restitution, seeing he had an ordinary remedy for that: Et non competit restitutio in integrum, ubi suppetit ordinarium remedium. The pursuer offered to assign any action he had against his mother in the defender's favours. After long reasoning of this matter, The Lords would not decide that, whether an executor might be restored in integrum or not. But, in respect that the tutrix was responsal, they ordained the pursuer to discuss her before they would give an answer to it; and for that effect continued the matter to the first of November following.

Page 302.

1631. March 4. Elisabeth Ramsay against Mackcoul.

A Proposition not unfit to be Motioned in Parliament.

WHETHER or not decreets shall prescribe for sums of money within 40 years, as well as obligations, since the Act makes no mention of decreets; and, to clear both the old Acts for obligations, and the late Act of prescription of heritable rights 1617, whether the prescription be once interrupted, if the possession be continued forty years again after that, without any new interruption, the obligation or right shall prescribe or not? This was drawn in question betwixt Elisabeth Ramsay and Mackcoul.

Page 363.

1631. June 4.

CHRYSTIE against JACK.

In an action of reduction of a comprising between Chrystie and Jack, the reason being, that, in leading of the comprising, the party from whom the tenements (which lay in Dundee,) were comprised, being for the time out of the country, he was not lawfully warned at the pier and shore of Leith, according to the common custom, but at the shore of Dundee, which he had no warrant for;—Answered, 1st, There being no law for summoning of parties out of the country at the shore of Leith, but only a custom without any warrant, it could not oblige all the lieges to follow it; but, in our case, the compriser had done the equivalent or more; for, summons being only for that use, that the party may be certified of that which was doing, the defender had summoned him at the shore of Dundee, where was the debtor's residence and dwelling-place before his going off the country, and where his friends and kindred dwelt, by whose means he might get better notice of his summoning than if it had been made at Leith: And where the received custom was obtruded,—Answered, 2d, Nothing can be called a custom, but that which hath been drawn in question in judicio contradictorio, and maintained; which is not here. The Lords sustained the reason of reduction.