1688. *July* 26. AGNES NISBET *against* ISOBEL and ESTER SMITH, and BRUNTFIELD and SCOTT, their Husbands.

See the prior parts of this case, *supra*, page 594.

See the several intermediate parts of this case pointed out in the Index to the Decisions.

MR Alexander Bruntfield and Smith's reduction against Mr James Borthwick of Stow, and his Relict, (mentioned 17th December 1686,) is advised. Probation having been led on a reason of death-bed, the Lords had found it proven; and reduced. It is now contended, that Mr Alexander Heriot's coming to kirk and market, at least his being at a christening after subscribing that disposition, was proven. And it was craved the Lords would review and reconsider the probation.

This was objected against as *pessimi exempli*, and what reflected on the Lords, and might unsecure and cast loose all the decreets *in foro*.

Vol. I. Page 515.

HARVEST VACANCE, 1688.

THE Law occurrents during this harvest vacance to the Revolution in November 1688, are observed by me in a small 4to Manuscript, and so are forborne to be inserted here. But we may count it a surcease of Justice from August 1688 to the 1st of November 1689; for albeit the Session sat during November 1688, yet, by the Prince of Orange's arrival then in England, no business was done, save on a few bills. As for the decisions after the re-establishment of the Session under King William in November 1689; see the continuation of them shortly remarked in other manuscripts beside me. *MS. Page* 420.

EDINBURGH:
ARCH. ALLARDICE AND CO. PRINTERS,
Gosford's Close.