

(Pais *periculo petentis.*)

No 8.

. This case is thus reported by Dirleton :

MR GEORGE BLAIR being called in an adjudication, at the instance of Kinloch of Gourdie, as superior of the lands craved to be adjudged ; did *allege* that they could not be adjudged, because they did belong to him by a disposition and resignation thereupon *ad remanentiam*. It was *answered*, That adjudications are now in place of comprisings ; and, as such debates were not competent against comprisings, the time of the deducting of the same ; so they ought not to be admitted against adjudications ; seeing comprisers and adjudgers do adjudge or comprise upon their own hazard : And if the debtor has any right or interest, it ought to be adjudged ; and if he has none, there is no prejudice to any person.

THE LORDS found ; That there being no competition of creditors, and no hazard of retarding the pursuer's diligence upon that account ; the defender being called, might propose the said defence ; and ought not to be put to trouble and charges to appear in any other process, for mails and duties, or removing ; especially seeing he was content, that if the pursuer had a reduction, as he pretended, of his right, that it should be discussed presently ; and, though he had no reduction, that what he could say against his right, should be heard and discussed by way of reply.

Reporter, *Forrest*.*Dirleton, No 305. p. 151.*

1699. February 7.

GORDON *against* FORBESSES.

No 9.
In adjudication of bonds by apparent heirs, where no notoriety of their predecessor's right, and no competition of creditors, adjudication will not pass without some evidence.

MERSINGTON, reported Gordon of Inverebrie, against Forbeses of Ballogie, Tulloch, and Balflug. Tulloch, as apparent heir to his grand-father, in the lands of Corfinday, and others, grants a bond for 18,000 merks to _____, who, thereupon charging him to enter heir, and obtaining a decret *cognitionis causa*, raises an adjudication. Compareance is made for Ballogie and others, now proprietors, who repeat a declarator they had raised, that the lands have pertained immemorially to them ; and they deny his goodfire had ever any right thereto, or that he is the nearest in blood ; else any man, on his own bond, may cause charge himself to enter to some of his predecessors, in lands they never had right or claim to, and thereupon raise improbation against the just possessors, open their charter-chests, propale their papers, and vex all the country.—*Answered*, Adjudications are *judicia summaria*, and ought not to be stoppt on allegations that require probation ; but the form is, to discern, and reserve all these defences *contra executionem* in the mails and duties, as may be seen, 15th

(Pafs periculo petentis.)

November 1666, Chein, (No 7. b. t.); 13th January 1672, Master of Salton, (See HEIR PORTIONER); 22d July 1664, Livingston, (No 6. b. t.); and lately a defence of prescription, (which is the very case in hand,) was repelled betwixt Thomson and Archibald.—*Replied*, 'Tis very true, the Lords will not stop adjudications on every allegiance, where the pursuer is a true creditor, and the apparent heir's contingency in blood is notour, and there is a general fame that land once belonged to their family; but where none of these appear, and where there is no striving for diligence, but 'tis the first adjudication, and so no *periculum in mora*, the Lords will not easily pass such adjudications; and my Lord Stair, part 2. tit. 2. thinks, in such cases, some evidence should be given of the interest in the land.—THE LORDS found, Where adjudications are sought on apparent heirs bonds, and there is no notoriety of their predecessors having been heritors of that land, and that there is no concurrence of creditors striving for diligence, there ought to be no decret of adjudication, till they give some document that they once had right to the land craved to be adjudged, by a sasine, or some other evident, and that he had a contingency in blood. (See IMPROBATION.)

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 12. Fount. v. 2. p. 41.

1700. June 21.

LORD ARCHIBALD HAMILTON, and SIR JAMES OSWALD, against SIR CHARLES MURRAY of Hadden.

LORD ARCHIBALD, as creditor of Hadden, raises an adjudication of his lands for L. 300 Sterling. At calling, Sir Gilbert Elliot of Stobs compares, and *alleges*, there can be no adjudication, because Hadden was denuded of these lands in my favours, by an irredeemable disposition, whereon I am publicly infest under the great seal; so you cannot adjudge my lands for Hadden's debt.—*Answered*, I will not debate your right *hoc loco*, though it be but recent within these two or three years, and from a father-in-law to his goodson, and so liable to much suspicion; my summary process of adjudication cannot be stopped *hoc ordine*, but I must be allowed to go on, reserving your defences and right *contra executionem*, when I come to seek possession, or pursue for mails and duties; and that the Lords decided so 22d November 1664, Livingston *contra* Lord Forrester and Creditors of Grange, (No 6. b. t.), where the Lords adjudged, though it was instructed by a back-bond, that the debtor's right was only a trust; only they qualified it to be burdened with the back-bond. Yea, on the 15th November 1666, Cheyne *contra* Christie, (No 7. b. t.), they adjudged simply.—*Replied*, If I were delaying, then it were unreasonable to stop the adjudication; but I offer *instante* to produce all my papers in the reduction, and to instruct my undoubted right to the lands, and debate preference; and whether my right be recent or old, *non refert*, seeing I can instruct its onerous cause, though *inter conjunctos, et*

No 9.

No 10.

An offer to instruct *instante*, that the debtor was denuded, and that the party so offering was himself proprietor; refused to be received summarily, as an exception. The adjudication passed, reserving all defences *contra executionem*.