the Captain; and which last obligement was not prescribed, diligence having been used on it by horning and inhibition. (See Dict. No. 218. p. 11015.)

No. 8.

1736. February 11.

MARY SEATON, against Forbes of Blacktown, and Keith of Braxie.

No. 9.

A DISPOSITION of lands reserving the granter's liferent, latent for the years of prescription, on reduction being brought against it by the heir ex capite lecti, and other grounds; the action was found not prescribed, because the disposition was latent without either infeftment, possession, or process; and the disposition itself was found not prescribed because of the reserved liferent.

1736. July 27. The DUKE of ARGYLE against CAMPBELL.

No. 10:

Servants wages,—the three years prescription runs de die in diem, and not only from their leaving the service, as merchants accounts do. 2dly, The annus deliberandi of the master's heir is not to be discounted, and does not stop the prescription.

1737. January 14. FERGUSON of Auchinblain against Muir.

No. 11.

HOUSE-MAILLS,—the triennial prescription runs de die in diem, as servants fees, and not from the tenants removal; and therefore sustained as to all preceding three years, though the tenant was still in possession, unless the pursuer prove resting owing. (See Dict. No. 308. p 11103.)

1737. January 17, June 17.

SIR ROBERT DOUGLAS of Glenbervie against SIR JOHN SCOTT of Ancrum.

No. 12.

INTERRUPTION of prescription of a bond by a principal and cautioner, as old as 1666, whereon no document had been taken till 1713, sustained upon a holograph discharge by the creditor to the cautioner in 1675, though generally holographum non probat datam, and though the payment by the