

No. 3. deemable;—and this they found though there never had been any declarator of irritancy, and though the wadset was improper, and the creditor had not been in possession for 40 years, nor earlier than 1705. *Vide inter eosdem* 19th December 1738.—*Vide* Craig against Strong, *voce* *MINOR NON TENETUR PLACITARE*. (See DICT. No. 51. p. 7216.)

---

1749. February 10. NIELL M'VICAR *against* COCHRANE of Hill.

No. 4.

IRRITANCY *ob non solutum canonem* being renounced in the feu-right and repeated in the sasine, that renunciation was found effectual against a singular successor in the superiority, notwithstanding the 250th act 1597. *Vide* SUPERIORITY.

See NOTES.