

points, and found that the petitioner could not have allowance of the expense of the erroneous infestment; 2dly, That for the expense of the adjudication he could only be preferred on the superiority, but from this last I own I differed; 3dly, That he could not be preferred for his proportion of the expense of the ranking and sale. Arniston was much against this last.

**NO. 10. 1743, Jan. 5. BANK OF SCOTLAND *against* FRASERDALE.**

(The competition between these parties took place in the ranking of Prestonhall, relative to which see the note immediately above.)

**NO. 11. 1743, July 12. RANKING OF THE CREDITORS OF PORTRACT.**

A creditor being infest for principal annualrent penalty and termly failzies, the question was reported, whether in a ranking this creditor should be preferred for his penalty or termly failzies in so far only as extended to the expenses of completing his right, according to our judgment in the case of Prestonhall, or if he should be preferred also for the expenses of ranking and sale; and we gave the same judgment as in the case of Prestonhall, viz. to prefer him only for the expense of completing his title.

**NO. 13. 1748, Jan. 29. COMPETITION OF THE CREDITORS OF BLAIR.**

THIS estate being sold at the instance of the apparent-heir on the act 1695, one creditor had adjudged before the sale on a *cognitionis causa*, and two creditors adjudged after but within year and day of the first, and other creditors did not at all adjudge. The question was,—In the division, whether the three adjudgers should be preferred *pari passu*, being within year and day, or if the first ought not to be preferred, and the two adjudications after the sale were not inept?—or if the whole ought not to be preferred *pari passu* whether adjudgers or not, because the sale which is an adjudication was for their behoof? And we found that the whole creditors whether adjudgers or not ought to be preferred *pari passu*.

**NO. 14. 1748, July 1. BLACKWOOD *against* EARL OF SUTHERLAND.**

A decret of ranking and sale of the estate of Dudhope being quarrelled at Mr Blackwood's instance to the end that he might be restored to his due place in the ranking and be preferred in an annualrent of L.11,000 that formerly belonged to Sir George Hamilton affecting that estate, and to which he had right by disposition 1702 and infestment thereon in 1706 in the person of Sir Andrew Fleming of Farm, from whom he had adjudged; whereas in the decret the Earl of Sutherland and others are preferred to him on a disposition by Sir George in 1699, though no infestment followed till 1709, so three years after his, because he had not then produced Farm's sasine, but which he has since discovered and now produces. The first ground of reduction was, that Janet Hepburn, in whose name these processes were carried on was dead several years before it was raised. Defences were, that in rankings and sales the pursuers are often but nominal, without any