

1754. *January 26.* ALEXANDER GRANT *against* LADY NEWMORE.

No. 14.

IN a process for a sadler's account in London against an heir, the defender having put the pursuer to the expense of proving the furnishing and prices; on advising, the Court decerned for not only the account and expenses of process, but also for interest from a year after the account was furnished.

---

1754. *February 15.* MILLER *against* NEWLANDS.

No. 15.

BUT in another case of merchant-ware, to the value of L.54 sterling, sold not in retail, but in slump to be again retailed, we refused to give annual-rent from a year after the sale, and gave it only from the citation in the process.

See Chalmers against Cunningham, 8th November 1737, *voce* COMPETITION.

See Ramsay against Brownlee, 1st December 1738, *voce* ADJUDICATION.

See Heir and Executors of Sir James Rothead, 6th November 1739, *voce* HERITABLE, &c. and *voce* TAILZIE.

See Stewart against Coupar, 27th February 1742, *voce* ADJUDICATION.

See BILL OF EXCHANGE.

See NOTES.