

1758. December 2. TELFER against MAXWELL of Dalswinton.

JAMES MAXWELL, son to Hugh, from the age of nineteen to that of twenty-two, during the two first years of which he was an apprentice to a linen manufacturer, contracted some trifling debts to tradesmen, and, among others, one of L. 7 to Telfer, a tailor. There were no complaints that his father had pinched him in his allowance.

In a process at the tailor's instance against the father, the son being dead,  
"THE LORDS found the father liable for the debt."

*Aot. Agnew.*

*Alt. Hugh Dalrymple.*

*J. D.*

*Fol. Dic. v. 4. p. 39. Fac. Col. No 140. p. 256.*

If parents may name tutors and curators to their children; *See TUTOR and PUPIL.*

*See ALIMENT.*

*See IMPLIED POWER.*

*See RECOMPENCE.*

*See APPENDIX.*

No 4.

Father liable for furnishings to his son above majority, while the son was apprentice.