

## **DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE**

**D00022766**

**Decision of Independent Expert  
(Summary Decision)**

**ReAssure Group Limited**

and

**Super Privacy Service LTD c/o Dynadot**

### **1. The Parties:**

Complainant: ReAssure Group Limited  
Windsor House,  
Telford Centre,  
Shropshire,  
TF3 4NB, United Kingdom  
(Represented by Keltie LLP)

Respondent: Super Privacy Service LTD c/o Dynadot  
PO Box 701  
San Mateo  
California  
94401  
United States

### **2. The Domain Name:**

reassre.co.uk

### 3. Notification of Complaint

I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the complaint to the Respondent in accordance with section 3 and 6 of the Policy.

Yes No

### 4. Rights

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown Rights in respect of a name or mark, which is identical or similar to the Domain Name.

Yes No

### 5. Abusive Registration

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the Domain Name **reassre.co.uk** is an Abusive Registration.

Yes No

### 6. Other Factors

I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances.

Yes No

### 7. Comments (optional)

Although filed as a separate Complaint, the text of this Complaint also refers to two other related domains, <reaasure.co.uk> and <reasure.co.uk>, with nominally different registrant details. The Complainant asserts that these domains, and <reassre.co.uk>, are ultimately under common control, and provides some evidence to support this contention. It also refers to prior adverse UDRP decisions against one of the named registrants of these domains, and asks that there should be a presumption of Abusive Registration on those grounds. The DRS is independent of the UDRP and there are no provisions for making such a presumption, which has therefore not been accepted. The named Respondent in this case, Super Privacy Service LTD, has also not been previously named as losing Respondent in three DRS cases in the two years prior to this Complaint, so Paragraph 5.3 of the DRS Policy is not applicable.

### 8. Decision

I grant the Complainant's application for a summary decision. In accordance with section 12 of the Policy, the Domain Name will therefore be transferred to the Complainant.

**Signed:**  
**Keith GYMER**

**Dated: 4 August, 2020**