

Case summary

Issue

Whether a claimant in statutory and judicial review cases who is unsuccessful at the permission stage should be liable for the costs of multiple other parties, including respondents and interested parties.

Facts

The Appellant applied for judicial review against the Respondents and Interested Party. Permission for judicial review was refused. The judge held this was a claim to which the cap on costs under the Aarhus Convention applied. She ordered that the Appellant pay both Respondents' and the Interested Party's costs up to that cap, totalling £10,000. The Appellant challenges this order.